Survey Procedures: Large screening samples were drawn from the NASS List Sampling Frame. This extensive sampling frame covers all types of farms and accounts for about 82% of all land in farms in the U.S. The screening samples were selected in such a way as to insure that all farms on the list had a possibility of being selected. Farms that were more likely to be producers of crops of interest were more likely to be in the sample. The sampled farms were screened to determine the presence of all the crops of interest. From this subpopulation of operations identified as producing the crop of interest, a subsample of farms was selected in such a way as to insure that each identified producer had an opportunity to be selected. In general, larger farms were more likely to be selected than smaller farms.

Estimation Procedures: The chemical applications data, reported by product name or trade name are reviewed within state and across states for reasonableness and consistency. This review compares reported data with manufacturer's recommendations and with data from other farm operators using the same product. Following this review, product information are converted to an active ingredient level. The chemical usage estimates in this publication consist of survey estimates of those active ingredients.

Estimates of the total amount of active ingredient applied are based on the acreage estimates published in the annual NASS report "Vegetables - 1998 Summary" [Vg 1-2(99)c] released on January 28, 1999. The estimates for total amount applied will not be revised even if there are subsequent revisions to acreage for a given crop.

Detailed data within a table may not multiply across or add down due to independent rounding of the published values.

Reliability: The probability nature of the survey provides expansion of data so that the estimates are statistically representative of chemical use on the targeted crops in the surveyed States. The reliability of these survey results are affected by non-sampling errors and sampling variability. The sampling variability, expressed as a percentage of the estimate, is referred to as the coefficient of variation (cv).

Non-sampling errors are errors that occur during a survey process, and unlike sampling variability, are difficult to measure. They may be caused by interviewers failing to follow instructions, poorly worded questions, non-response, problematic survey procedures, or data handling between collection and publication. In these surveys, all survey procedures and analysis were carried out in a consistent and orderly manner to minimize the occurrence of these types of errors.

Variability for estimates of acres treated will be higher than the variability for estimates of application rates. This is because application rates have a narrower range of responses, are recommended by the manufacturer of the product, and are generally followed.

Sampling variability of the estimates differed considerably by chemical and crop. In general, the more often the chemical was applied, the smaller the sampling variability. For example, estimates of use of a commonly used product, such as Carbaryl, exhibit less variability than a more rarely used product. For more commonly used chemicals, cv's will range from 1-30 percent at the U.S. level and 5-65 percent at the State level. Some rarer items will have cv's above 100 percent. These items have insufficient data for publication and these instances are noted.